1	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP ROXANE A. POLIDORA 135972 roxane.polidora@pillsburylaw.com RYAN TAKEMOTO 221169 ryan.takemoto@pillsburylaw.com 50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105		
2			
3			
4			
5	Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200		
6	Attorneys for Defendants		
7	POTOMAC ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, WAIS JALALI, MICHAEL POST and BRIAN MAZUR		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11			
12	NORMAN S. WRIGHT MECHANICAL)	No. C 06 2065 MJJ	
13	EQUIPMENT CORPORATION, a California) corporation,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
14	Plaintiff,	ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME TO ANSWER, MOVE OR OTHERWISE	
15	vs.	RESPOND TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
16	POTOMAC ENVIRONMENTAL)		
17	TECHNOLOGIES, a business entity, form unknown; WAIS JALALI, an individual;	[CIVIL L.R. 6-2]	
18	MICHAEL POST, an individual; BRIAN) MAZUR, an individual; COMMERICAL)		
19	ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS GROUP, INC., a purported corporation; GEORGE	Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor	
20	HALKO, an individual; and DOES 1 through) 50, fictitiously named parties,	Honorable Martin J. Jenkins	
21	Defendants.		
22			
23	PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE	E 6-2, counsel for plaintiff NORMAN S.	
24	WRIGHT MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT CORPORATION ("Plaintiff"), and counsel for		
25	defendants POTOMAC ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, WAIS JALALI,		
26	MICHAEL POST and BRIAN MAZUR ("Defendants") submit this stipulation and		
27		,	
28	•		

1	proposed order extending the time for Defendants to respond to the First Amended	
2	Complaint:	
3	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed this action in the Superior Court of California, County	
4	San Francisco on March 6, 2006;	
5	WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint in this action in the Superior	
6	Court of California, County of San Francisco on March 10, 2006;	
7	WHEREAS, on March 17, 2006, Commercial Environmental Systems Group, Inc.	
8	and George Halko (collectively, the "CES Defendants") filed a Notice of Removal,	
9	effectively removing this action to the above-entitled court, and on March 22, 2006,	
10	Defendants filed a Joinder in Notice of Removal of Action;	
11	WHEREAS, on March 29, 2006, Plaintiff and Defendants stipulated to an extension	
12	for Defendants to answer, move or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint up	
13	to and including May 3, 2006;	
14	WHEREAS, on April 18, 2006, defendants Commercial Environmental Systems	
15	Group Inc. and George Halko filed a motion to compel arbitration of claims and for a stay	
16	of this entire action, including Plaintiff's claims against Defendants, pending arbitration	
17	(the "CES Defendants' Motion");	
18	WHEREAS, the CES Defendants' Motion is scheduled for hearing on May 24, 2006	
19	at 10:30 a.m. before Magistrate Judge Chen;	
20	WHEREAS, if the CES Defendants' Motion is granted in its entirety, this action	
21	will be stayed pending arbitration;	
22	WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants agree that judicial economy and the interests	
23	of the parties in avoiding unnecessary expenses would be served and promoted by	
24	continuing Defendants' time to answer, move or otherwise respond to the First Amended	
25	Complaint until after the CES Defendants' Motion has been heard and decided;	
26	WHEREAS, continuing Defendants' time to answer, move or other respond to the	
27	First Amended Complaint as stipulated below will not materially affect the schedule for this	
28	action.	

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by Plaintiff and Defendants, by and		
2	through their respective counsel that the last day for Defendants to answer, move or		
3	otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint is continued to June 13, 2006.		
4	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that nothing herein, nor the		
5	extension of time to answer, move or other	wise respond to the First Amended Complaint	
6	shall constitute a waiver of any of Defendants' or Plaintiff's defenses or procedural or		
7	substantive rights, which are expressly reserved.		
8	Plaintiff and Defendants respectfully request the Court approve the stipulation to		
9	extend the time to respond to the First Amended Complaint.		
10	Dated: April 26, 2006.		
11	-	ILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP	
12	R	OXANE A. POLIDORA YAN TAKEMOTO	
13		0 Fremont Street an Francisco, CA 94105	
14		//D	
15	В	y /s/ Roxane A. Polidora Roxane A. Polidora	
16		Attorneys for Defendants POTOMAC ENVIRONMENTAL	
17		TECHNOLOGIES, WAIS JALALI, MICHAEL POST and BRIAN MAZUR	
18	Dated: April 26, 2006.		
19	Dated. April 20, 2000.		
20	L	EONIDOU & ROSIN, P.C. ANETTE G. LEONIDOU	
21	5	Thomas Mellon Circle, Suite 205	
22	S	an Francisco, CA 94134	
23	В	y /s/ Janette G. Leonidou	
24		Janette G. Leonidou Attorneys for Plaintiff	
25		NORMAN S. WRIGHT MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT CORP.	
26			
27			
28			

1	ORDER		
2	BASED ON THE FOREGOING AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS		
3	HEREBY ORDERED THAT:		
4	Defendants will have up to and including June 13, 2006 to answer, move or		
5	otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint. By this stipulation and the extension		
6	of time to answer, move or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint, Defendants		
7	and Plaintiff have not waived any defenses or procedural or substantive rights.		
8			
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10	Dated: 4/26/2006		
11			
12	HONORABLE JUDGE MARTIN J. JENKINS		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been	
2	obtained from Janette G. Leonidou.	
3	Dated: April 26, 2006.	
4		/s/ Roxane A. Polidora
5		Roxane A. Polidora Attorney for Defendants POTOMAC ENVIRONMENTAL
6		TECHNOLOGIES, WAIS JALALI, MICHAEL POST and BRIAN MAZUR
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
2.8		